

life and property, do you think any of the Common Council members had a different objective and that's what would have comprised you telling them this?

ATTORNEY KNOWLTON: Objection to the form of the question. Compound. Go ahead.

A We had no confidence in alderperson Kuhl for example.

Q Okay. For example. Any others?

A I'm not sure.

Q What do you mean?

A I don't know what your question is.

Q Well, you said, for example, so that's fine you're telling me you had no confidence in alderperson Kuhl. Any other alders that you have no confidence in?

A There's an old expression from WWII which is loose lips sink ships.

Q Sure?

A So the more people you tell the more likely it is that your ship is going go down.

Q And again with the objective you've identified repeatedly, loss of life and protection of property --

A Right.

Q Do you think that these elected alder people had a different objective than you?

A No.

Q Then what was the problem with telling them about these

meetings?

A Because the more people you tell the more likely it is that someone is going to spill the beans on the planning.

Q Okay. So you didn't trust them?

A No, it's just that people have a way of talking. So it's possible that loose lips sink ships.

Q Okay. Other than Alderperson Kuhl, was there any other alderperson that you thought would have loose lips?

A Any of them might have had.

Q Oh, any of them?

A Well, again, human beings like to talk.

Q Okay.

A The fewer people you talk to the better it is as to keeping something confidential, anything.

Q What about the police?

A The police were the primary planners so how could we deny the police that information?

Q Well, the police were saying, that the benefit of a big force was going to be the impact that would deter, correct?

A I don't know what you're looking at.

Q I'm sorry, I'm not actually looking at this -- do you recall that one reason that Captain Vetter had expressed, if we can find it, but was that a large show